arXiv:1608.00639v1 [math.GN] 1 Aug 2016

ON PIECEWISE CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS OF METRIZABLE SPACES

SERGEY MEDVEDEV

ABSTRACT. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a resolvable-measurable mapping of a metrizable space X to a regular space Y. Then f is piecewise continuous. Additionally, for a metrizable completely Baire space X, it is proved that f is resolvable-measurable if and only if it is piecewise continuous.

In an old question Lusin asked if any Borel function is necessarily countably continuous. This question was answered negatively by Keldiš [K34], and an example of a Baire class 1 function which is not decomposable into countably many continuous functions was later found by Adyan and Novikov [AN]; see also the paper of van Mill and Pol [vMP].

The first affirmative result was obtained by Jayne and Rogers [JR, Theorem 1].

Theorem JR (Jayne–Rogers)**.** *If X is an absolute Souslin-$\mathcal{F}$ set and Y is a metric space, then $f\colon X \to Y$ is $\mathbf{\Delta}^0_2$-measurable if and only if it is piecewise continuous.*

Later Solecki [Sol, Theorem 3.1] proved the first dichotomy theorem for Baire class 1 functions. This theorem shows how piecewise continuous functions can be found among $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-measurable ones.

Theorem S (Solecki)**.** *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-measurable function from an analytic set X to a separable metric space Y. Then precisely one of the following holds:*

(i) *f is piecewise continuous,*
(ii) *one of L, L_1 is contained in f, where L and L_1 are two so-called Lebesgue's functions.*

Kačena, Motto Ros, and Semmes [KMS, Theorem 1] showed that Theorem JR holds for a regular space Y. They also got [KMS, Theorem 8] a strengthening of Solecki's theorem from an analytic set X to an absolute Souslin-$\mathcal{F}$ set X.

On the other hand, Banakh and Bokalo [BB, Theorem 8.1] proved among other things that a mapping $f\colon X \to Y$ from a metrizable completely Baire space X to a regular space Y is piecewise continuous if and only if it is $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_2$-measurable. Under some set-theoretical assumptions, examples of $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_2$-measurable mappings which are not piecewise continuous were constructed in the work [BB].

Recently, Ostrovsky [Ost] proved that every resolvable-measurable function $f\colon X \to Y$ is countably continuous for any separable zero-dimensional metrizable spaces X and Y.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54H05; Secondary 03E15, 54C10.

Key words and phrases. Resolvable-measurable mapping, piecewise continuous mapping, $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-measurable mapping, completely Baire space.

The main result of the paper (see Theorem 4) states that every resolvable-measurable mapping $f\colon X \to Y$ of a metrizable space X to a regular space Y is piecewise continuous. Comparison of our result and the Banakh and Bokalo theorem shows that the condition on X is weakened but f is restricted to the class of resolvable-measurable mappings. Notice also that Theorem 4 generalizes and strengthens the Ostrovsky theorem.

In completely metrizable spaces, resolvable sets coincide with $\mathbf{\Delta}^0_2$-sets, see [Kur1, p. 418]. Lemma 6 shows that every metrizable completely Baire space has such a property. This enables us to refine the above result of Banakh and Bokalo, see Theorem 7.

Theorem 9 states that in the study of $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-measurable mappings defined on metrizable completely Baire spaces it suffices to consider separable spaces. In a sense, Theorem 9 is similar to the non-separable version of Solecki's Theorem S.

Notation. For all undefined terms, see [Eng].

A subset E of a space X is *resolvable* if it can be represented as

$$E = (F_1 \setminus F_2) \cup (F_3 \setminus F_4) \cup \ldots \cup (F_\xi \setminus F_{\xi+1}) \cup \ldots,$$

where $\langle F_\xi \rangle$ forms a decreasing transfinite sequence of closed sets in X.

A metric space X is said to be an *absolute Souslin-$\mathcal{F}$* set if X is a result of the $\mathcal{A}$-operation applied to a system of closed subsets of $\widehat{X}$, where $\widehat{X}$ is the completion of X under its metric. Metrizable continuous images of the space of irrational numbers are called *analytic sets*.

A mapping $f\colon X \to Y$ is said to be

- *resolvable-measurable* if $f^{-1}(U)$ is a resolvable subset of X for every open set $U \subset Y$,
- $\mathbf{\Delta}^0_2$-*measurable* if $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathbf{\Delta}^0_2(X)$ for every open set $U \subset Y$,
- $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-*measurable* if $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2(X)$ for every open set $U \subset Y$,
- $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_2$-*measurable* if $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathbf{\Pi}^0_2(X)$ for every open set $U \subset Y$,
- *countably continuous* if X can be covered by a sequence $X_0, X_1, \ldots$ of sets such that the restriction $f \restriction X_n$ is continuous for every $n \in \omega$,
- *piecewise continuous* if X can be covered by a sequence $X_0, X_1, \ldots$ of closed sets such that the restriction $f \restriction X_n$ is continuous for every $n \in \omega$.

Obviously, every piecewise continuous mapping is countably continuous. Notice that every resolvable-measurable mapping of a metrizable space X is $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-measurable because, by [Kur1, p. 362], every resolvable subset of a metrizable space X is a $\mathbf{\Delta}^0_2$-set, i.e., a set that is both F_σ and G_δ in X. The following example shows that there exists a $\mathbf{\Delta}^0_2$-measurable mapping which is not resolvable-measurable.

Example. *Let $f\colon \mathbb{Q} \to D$ be a one-to-one mapping of the space $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers onto the countable discrete space D. Clearly, f is piecewise continuous and $\mathbf{\Delta}^0_2$-measurable. Gao and Kientenbeld* [GK, Proposition 4] *got a characterization of nonresolvable subsets of $\mathbb{Q}$. In particular, they showed that there exists a nonresolvable subset A of $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $A = f^{-1}(f(A))$, the mapping f is not resolvable-measurable.*

The closure of a set $A \subset X$ is denoted by $\overline{A}$. Given a mapping $f\colon X \to Y$, let us denote by $\mathcal{I}_f$ the family of all subsets $A \subset X$ for which there is a set $S \in \mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2(X)$ such that $A \subset S$ and the restriction $f \restriction S$ is piecewise continuous. In particular, f is piecewise continuous if and only if $X \in \mathcal{I}_f$. From [HZZ, Proposition 3.5] it follows that the family $\mathcal{I}_f$ forms a σ-ideal which is F_σ supported and is closed with respect to discrete unions, see also [KMS].

To prove Theorem 4, we shall use the technique due to Kačena, Motto Ros, and Semmes [KMS]. Therefore, the terminology from [KMS] is applied. The sets $A, B \subset Y$ are *strongly disjoint* if $\overline{A} \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a mapping. Put $A^f = f^{-1}(Y \setminus \overline{A})$. As noted in [KMS], if A, B are strongly disjoint and $A^f, B^f \in \mathcal{I}_f$, then $X \in \mathcal{I}_f$.

Let $x \in X$, $X' \subset X$, and $A \subset Y$. The pair (x, X') is said to be *f-irreducible outside A* if for every open neighborhood $V \subset X$ of x we have $A^f \cap X' \cap V \notin \mathcal{I}_f$. Otherwise we say that (x, X') is *f-reducible outside A*, i.e., there exist a neighborhood V of x and a set $S \in \mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2(X)$ such that $A^f \cap X' \cap V \subset S$ and $f \restriction S$ is piecewise continuous. Clearly, $x \in \overline{A^f \cap X'}$ if (x, X') is f-irreducible outside A.

Lemma 1 ([KMS, Lemma 3])**.** *Let X be a metrizable space and Y a regular space. Suppose $f\colon X \to Y$ is a $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-measurable mapping, X' is a subset of X, and $A \subset Y$ is an open set such that $X' \subset A^f$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *$X' \notin \mathcal{I}_f$,*
(ii) *there exist a point $x \in \overline{X'}$ and an open set $U \subset Y$ strongly disjoint from A such that $f(x) \in U$ and the pair (x, X') is f-irreducible outside U.*

Lemma 2 ([KMS, Lemma 4])**.** *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a mapping of a metrizable space X to a regular space Y, $x \in X$, $X' \subset X$, $A \subseteq Y$, and let $U_0, \dots, U_k$ be a sequence of pairwise strongly disjoint open subsets of Y. If $(x; X')$ is f-irreducible outside A, then there is at most one $i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ such that (x, X') is f-reducible outside $A \cup U_i$.*

Recall that a set $A \subset Y$ is *relatively discrete* in Y if for every point $a \in A$ there is an open set $U \subset Y$ such that $U \cap A = \{a\}$.

Lemma 3. *Let X be a metrizable space and Y be a regular space. Suppose $f\colon X \to Y$ is a $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-measurable mapping which is not piecewise continuous. Then there exists a subset $Z \subset X$ such that:*

(1) *Z is homeomorphic to the space of rational numbers,*
(2) *the restriction $f \restriction Z$ is a bijection,*
(3) *the set $f(Z)$ is relatively discrete in Y,*
(4) $\dim \overline{Z} = 0$.

Proof. Fix a metric ρ on X. Denote by $2^{<\omega}$ the set of all binary sequences of finite length. The construction will be carried out by induction with respect to the order $\preceq$ on $2^{<\omega}$ defined by

$$s \preceq t \iff \operatorname{length}(s) < \operatorname{length}(t) \vee (\operatorname{length}(s) = \operatorname{length}(t) \wedge s \leq_{\text{lex}} t),$$

where $\leq_{\text{lex}}$ is the usual lexicographical order on $2^{\text{length}(s)}$. We write $s \prec t$ if $s \preceq t$ and $s \neq t$.

We will construct a sequence $\langle x_s \colon s \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle$ of points of X, a sequence $\langle V_s \colon s \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle$ of subsets of X, and a sequence $\langle U_s \colon s \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle$ of open subsets of Y such that for every $s \in 2^{<\omega}$:

(1) if $t \subset s$ then $V_s \subset V_t$,
(2) V_s is an open ball in X with the centre x_s and radius $\leq 2^{-\text{length}(s)}$,
(3) if $s = t^\frown 0$ then $x_s = x_t$,
(4) $f(x_s) \in U_s$,
(5) (x_t, V_t) is f-irreducible outside A for every $t \preceq s$, where $A = \bigcup_{u \preceq s} U_u$,
(6) the family $\{V_t \colon t \in 2^n\}$ is pairwise strongly disjoint for every $n \in \omega$,
(7) the family $\{U_t \colon t \preceq s\}$ is pairwise strongly disjoint.

Since f is not piecewise continuous, we can apply Lemma 1 with respect to $X' = X$ and $A = \emptyset$ to obtain the point $x \in X$ and the open set $U \subset Y$. Then put $x_\emptyset = x$ and $U_\emptyset = U$. Let $V_\emptyset = B(x_\emptyset, 1)$ be an open ball in X with the centre $x_\emptyset$ and radius 1.

Assume that x_t, V_t, and U_t have been constructed for any $t \preceq s$. Put $x_{s^\frown 0} = x_s$ and $U_{s^\frown 0} = U_s$.

Let $A = \bigcup_{t \prec s^\frown 1} U_t$ and $O = Y \setminus \overline{A}$. By the inductive hypothesis, the pair (x_s, V_s) is f-irreducible outside A. Take a neighborhood W of x_s such that $\overline{W} \subset V_s$. Then (x_s, W) is f-irreducible outside A and $f^{-1}(O) \cap W = A^f \cap W \notin \mathcal{I}_f$. By Lemma 1 there exist a point $x' \in \overline{f^{-1}(O) \cap W}$ and an open set $U_{x'} \subset Y$ strongly disjoint from A such that $f(x') \in U_{x'}$ and the pair $(x', f^{-1}(O) \cap W)$ is f-irreducible outside $U_{x'}$. Notice that $x' \neq x_s$ because $f(x_s) \in A$ and $\overline{U_{x'}} \cap \overline{A} = \emptyset$. If the pair $(x', f^{-1}(O) \cap W)$ is f-irreducible outside $A \cup U_{x'}$, put $x^* = x'$ and $U^* = U_{x'}$.

Consider the case when the pair $(x', f^{-1}(O) \cap W)$ is f-reducible outside $A \cup U_{x'}$. Take a neighborhood W' of x' such that $\overline{W'} \subset V_s$. Let

$$O' = Y \setminus (\overline{A} \cup \overline{U_{x'}}) \text{ and } X' = f^{-1}(O') \cap W \cap W'.$$

Then the pair (x', X') is f-irreducible outside $U_{x'}$ and $X' \notin \mathcal{I}_f$. As above, by Lemma 1 there exist a point $x'' \in \overline{X'}$ and an open set $U_{x''} \subset Y$ strongly disjoint from $A \cup U_{x'}$ such that $f(x'') \in U_{x''}$ and the pair (x'', X') is f-irreducible outside $U_{x''}$. Notice that $x'' \neq x_s$ and $x'' \neq x'$. From Lemma 2 it follows that the pair (x'', X') is f-irreducible outside $A \cup U_{x''}$. Then put $x^* = x''$ and $U^* = U_{x''}$.

Let $k = |\{t \in 2^{<\omega} \colon t \prec s^\frown 1\}|$, $z_0 = x^*$, and $U_0 = U^*$. Repeating the above construction, for $j = 0, \dots, k$ recursively construct $z_j \in V_s$ and U_j such that $f(z_j) \in U_j$, U_j is strongly disjoint from $A_j = A \cup \bigcup_{i<j} U_i$, and the pair $(z_j, V_s \cap (A_j)^f)$ is f-irreducible outside $A \cup U_j$. From Lemma 2 it follows that for each $t \prec s^\frown 1$ there is at most one $j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ such that (x_t, V_t) is f-reducible outside $A \cup U_j$. The pigeonhole principle implies that there exists $\ell \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ such that the pair $(z_\ell, V_s \cap (A_\ell)^f)$ is f-irreducible outside $A \cup U_\ell$ and (x_t, V_t) is f-irreducible outside $A \cup U_\ell$ for each $t \prec s^\frown 1$. Finally, set $x_{s^\frown 1} = z_\ell$ and $U_{s^\frown 1} = U_\ell$.

Since $x_{s^\frown 0}$ and $x_{s^\frown 1}$ are two distinct points from V_s, we can choose their neighborhoods $V_{s^\frown 0}$ and $V_{s^\frown 1}$, respectively, according to (1),(2), and (6).

One readily verifies that conditions (1)–(7) are satisfied.

The set $Z = \bigcup\{x_s\colon s \in 2^{<\omega}\}$ is countable and has no isolated points by (1) and (2). According to the Sierpiński theorem (see [Eng, Exercise 6.2.A]), Z is homeomorphic to the space of rational numbers. By construction, the set $\bigcup\{f(x_s)\colon s \in 2^{<\omega}\}$ consists of isolated points. From conditions (4) and (5) it follows that the restriction $f \restriction Z$ is a bijection.

From conditions (1) and (2) it follows that the family $\mathcal{V}_n = \{V_t\colon t \in 2^n\}$ forms a cover of Z by open sets of diameter $\le 2^{1-n}$ for each $n \in \omega$. Then

$$\overline{Z} \subset \bigcap\{\bigcup\{\overline{V_t}\colon t \in 2^n\}\colon n \in \omega\}.$$

Since the family $\mathcal{V}_n$ is finite and pairwise strongly discrete, we can find a pairwise strongly discrete open family $\mathcal{W}_n = \{W_t\colon t \in 2^n\}$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(W_t) < 2^{2-n}$ and $\overline{V_t} \subset W_t$ for each $t \in 2^n$. Without loss of generality, each $\mathcal{W}_{n+1}$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{W}_n$. Every family $\{W \cap \overline{Z}\colon W \in \mathcal{W}_n\}$, $n \in \omega$, forms a discrete open cover of $\overline{Z}$. From the Vopěnka theorem (see [Eng, Theorem 7.3.1]) it follows that $\dim \overline{Z} = 0$. □

Theorem 4. *Every resolvable-measurable mapping $f\colon X \to Y$ of a metrizable space X to a regular space Y is piecewise continuous.*

Proof. Suppose towards a contradiction that there is a resolvable-measurable mapping $f\colon X \to Y$ which is not piecewise continuous. Using Lemma 3, we can find a subset $Z \subset X$ such that Z is homeomorphic to the space of rational numbers, the restriction $f \restriction Z$ is a bijection, and $f(Z)$ is relatively discrete. Since f is a resolvable-measurable mapping, $f \restriction Z$ is the same. On the other hand, $f \restriction Z$ fails to be resolvable-measurable as shown in Example. □

Corollary 5. *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a bijection between metrizable spaces X and Y such that f and f^{-1} are both resolvable-measurable mappings. Then* $\dim X = \dim Y$.

Proof. Theorem 4 implies that $X = \bigcup_{n\in\omega} A_n$, where each A_n is closed in X and each restriction $f \restriction A_n$ is continuous. Similarly, $Y = \bigcup_{k\in\omega} B_k$, where each B_k is closed in Y and each restriction $f^{-1} \restriction B_k$ is continuous. The sequence $\langle A_n \cap f^{-1}(B_k)\colon n \in \omega, k \in \omega\rangle$ forms a cover of X by closed sets. Similarly, the sequence $\langle f(A_n) \cap B_k\colon n \in \omega, k \in \omega\rangle$ forms a cover of Y by closed sets. Since $f \restriction (A_n \cap f^{-1}(B_k))$ is a homeomorphism, we have

$$\dim(A_n \cap f^{-1}(B_k)) = \dim(f(A_n) \cap B_k).$$

The corollary follows from the countable sum theorem [Eng, Theorem 7.2.1]. □

A topological space X is called a *Baire space* if the intersection of countably many dense open sets in X is dense; or equivalently every nonempty open set in X is not of the first category. A space X is *completely Baire* if every closed subspace of X is a Baire space. Recall that $F \subset X$ is a *boundary set* in X if its complement is dense, i.e., if $\overline{X \setminus F} = X$.

Lemma 6. *For a metrizable space X the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *no closed subspace of X is homeomorphic to the space $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers,*

(ii) *X is a completely Baire space,*
(iii) *the $\mathbf{\Delta}^0_2(X)$-sets coincide with the resolvable sets in X.*

Proof. (i)⇒(ii): Suppose towards a contradiction that X is not a completely Baire space. Then there is a closed set $F \subset X$ which is not Baire. Hence we can find a nonempty open (in F) set $U \subset F$ of the first category in F. The closure $\overline{U}$ is of the first category on itself. According to [M86, Corollary 1] (see also [D87]) $\overline{U}$ contains a closed copy of $\mathbb{Q}$, a contradiction.

(ii)⇒(iii): By [Kur1, p. 362], every resolvable set in a metrizable space is a $\mathbf{\Delta}^0_2$-set.

Conversely, let $E \in \mathbf{\Delta}^0_2(X)$ and F be an arbitrary non-empty closed set. According to [Kur1, p. 99], we have to show that that either $F \cap E$ or $F \setminus E$ is not a boundary set in F. Otherwise, the sets $F \cap E$ and $F \setminus E$ would be of the first category in F (because every boundary $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$-set is of the first category), so their union $F = (F \cap E) \cup (F \setminus E)$ would be of the first category on F. This contradicts the fact that F is a Baire space.

(iii)⇒(i): Striving for a contradiction, suppose that X contains a closed set F which is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}$. As shown in Example, there is a nonresolvable set $A \in \mathbf{\Delta}^0_2(F)$. The set A is the same in X because F is closed in X. □

Theorem 7. *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a mapping of a metrizable completely Baire space X to a regular space Y. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *f is resolvable-measurable,*
(ii) *f is piecewise continuous,*
(iii) *f is $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_2$-measurable.*

Proof. The implication (i)⇒(ii) follows from Theorem 4.

(ii)⇒(i): By definition, there are closed sets $X_n \subset X$, $n \in \omega$, such that $\bigcup_{n\in\omega} X_n = X$ and each $f \restriction X_n$ is continuous. Then

$$f^{-1}(A) = \bigcup \{X_n \cap f^{-1}(A)\colon n \in \omega\}$$

is an $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$-set in X for every open (or closed) set $A \subset Y$. Hence $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathbf{\Delta}^0_2(X)$ for every open $U \subset Y$. From Lemma 6 it follows that $f^{-1}(U)$ is a resolvable set in X.

Banakh and Bokalo [BB, Theorem 8.1] got (ii) ⇔ (iii). □

Corollary 8. *Let X be a completely metrizable space and Y a regular space. Then $f\colon X \to Y$ is resolvable-measurable if and only if f is $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_2$-measurable.*

According to [KMS, Corollary 6], for an absolute Souslin-$\mathcal{F}$ set X, if $f\colon X \to Y$ is $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-measurable and not piecewise continuous, then there is a copy $K \subset X$ of the Cantor space 2^ω such that $f \restriction K$ has the same properties. The following theorem shows that a similar statement is valid for metrizable completely Baire spaces. However, such a set K from Theorem 9 need not be homeomorphic to the Cantor space. In fact, every Bernstein set is a metrizable completely Baire space but it contains no copy of the Cantor space.

Theorem 9. *Let X be a metrizable completely Baire space and Y a regular space. If $f\colon X \to Y$ is $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-measurable and not piecewise continuous, then there is a zero-dimensional separable closed set $K \subset X$ such that the restriction $f \restriction K$ is the same.*

Proof. Let $K = \overline{Z}$, where the set $Z \subset X$ is obtained by Lemma 3. Clearly, $f \restriction K$ is $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-measurable.

Suppose towards a contradiction that $f \restriction K$ is piecewise continuous. Then there are closed sets $K_n \subset X$, $n \in \omega$, such that $\bigcup_{n\in\omega} K_n = K$ and $f \restriction K_n$ is continuous. Since K is a Baire space, there exists a K_j with the nonempty interior V_j (in K). Clearly, $f \restriction \overline{V_j \cap Z}$ is continuous. Take a point $q \in V_j \cap Z$. Fix a neighborhood $U_q \subset Y$ of $f(q)$ such that $U_q \cap f(Z) = f(q)$. From continuity of $f \restriction \overline{V_j \cap Z}$ it follows that there is a neighborhood $V \subset V_j$ (in K) of q such that $f(V) \subset U_q$. Then $V \cap Z = \{q\}$, i.e., q is an isolated point of Z. This contradicts the fact that the set $V_j \cap Z$ has no isolated points. □

The last theorem yields

Theorem 10. *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be an F_σ-measurable mapping of a metrizable completely Baire space X to a regular space Y. If the restriction $f \restriction Z$ is piecewise continuous for any zero-dimensional separable closed subset Z of X, then f is piecewise continuous.*

References

[AN] S.I. Adyan and P.S. Novikov, *On a semicontinuous function*, Zap. MPGI W.I.Lenina, 138 (1958), 3–10 (in Russian).

[BB] T. Banakh and B. Bokalo, *On scatteredly continuous maps between topological spaces*, Topol. Applic., 157 (2010), 108–122.

[D87] E. K. van Douwen, *Closed copies of rationals*, Comm. Math. Univ. Carol., 28 (1987), 137–139.

[Eng] R. Engelking, *General topology*, PWN, Warszawa, 1977.

[GK] S. Gao and V. Kieftenbeld, *Resolvable maps preserve complete metrizability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), no. 6, 2245–2252.

[HZZ] P. Holický, L. Zajíček, and M. Zelený, *A remark on a theorem of Solecki*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 46 (2005), no. 1, 43–54.

[JR] J.E. Jayne and C.A. Rogers, *First level Borel functions and isomorphisms*, J. Math. pures et appl., 61 (1982), 177–205.

[K34] L. Keldiš, *Sur les fonctions premières measurables B*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 4 (1934), 192–197.

[KMS] M. Kačena, L. Motto Ros, and B. Semmes, *Some observations on "A new proof of a theorem of Jayne and Rogers"*, Real Analysis Exchange, 38 (2012/2013), no. 1, 121–132.

[Kur1] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. 1. PWN, Warszawa, 1966.

[M86] S. V. Medvedev, *On a problem for spaces of the first category*, Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. I, Mat. Mekh., 41, (1986), no. 2, 84–86 (in Russian). English transl.: Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull., 41 (1986), no. 2, 62–65.

[vMP] J. van Mill and R. Pol, *Baire 1 functions which are not countable unions of continuous functions*, Acta Math. Hungar., 66 (1995), 289–300.

[Ost] A. Ostrovsky, *Luzin's topological problem*, preprint, (2016), 1–9.

[Sol] S. Solecki, *Decomposing Borel sets and functions and the structure of Baire class 1 functions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1998) no. 3, 521–550.

Department of Mathematical and Functional Analysis, South Ural State University, pr. Lenina, 76, Chelyabinsk, 454080 Russia

E-mail address: medvedevsv@susu.ru